SINGLE BENCH (A)

(2)

CF 502

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 550 /2007

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

PETITIONERS

1. Mangru Ram, aged about 56 years, son of Shri Jogi Ram, Assistant Teacher, Government Tribal Primary School Majhgaon, PO Bhitghara, Vikas Khand Bagicha, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.) (Sl.No. 301 of the Ann P-1)
2. Laeos Tirky, aged about 47 years, son of Shri Rimish Shamo Tirky, Assistant Teacher, Government Tribal Primary School Tatkela, Tah. Bagicha, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.) (Sl.No. 316 of the Ann P-1)
3. Smt. Friska Kujur, aged about 43 years, W/o Shri Marshel Kujur, Assistant Teacher, Government Tribal Primary School Samdura, Tah. Bagicha, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.) (Sl.No. 322 of Ann P-1)
4. Surajnath Ram Bhagat, aged about 44 years, son of Shri Dondo Ram, Assistant Teacher, Government Tribal Primary School Tora, Bagicha, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.) (Sl.no. 431 of Annexure P-1)
5. Kristofar Tirky, aged about 45 years, son of Shri Imil Tirky, Assistant Teacher, Government Tribal Primary School Sukra, Tah. Bagicha, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.) (Sl.No. 434 of Ann P-1)

P.R. No. 5531/07 Presented by Shri Rajkumar Jha dated 16/11/07

RECEIVED COPY 16/11/7 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER S.R. Kaushik BILASPUR TAHSIL AREA

(5)

(3)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Assistant Commissioner, Deptt of Tribal Welfare, District Jashpur (CG)
3. The Block Education Officer, Bagicha, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 550 of 2007

Petitioners : Mangru Ram and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. A. N. Bhakta, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 29th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petition are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu